Sexual Abuse Prevention Plan
Evaluation Report for 2018
Background

The CDHO is required to administer a Patient Relations Program under the Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991 (RHPA). The Patient Relations Program must include measures for preventing and/or dealing with the sexual abuse of clients. This plan was developed to make dental hygienists aware of their obligations under the RHPA with respect to sexual abuse as well as outlining guidelines for professional behaviour.

These measures include educational requirements for registrants, guidelines for the conduct of registrants, training for College staff, and the provision of information to the public.

Statement of Philosophy

Sexual abuse/impropriety by dental hygienists while providing oral health care to the public will not be tolerated under any circumstances.

Components of the Sexual Abuse Prevention Plan

1. Educational Requirements for Students

- An Instructor’s Guide to the Prevention of Sexual Abuse of Clients was developed in 1996 and updated in 2008. In March 2008, the guide was mailed to the dental hygiene educational institutions in Ontario to be incorporated into their Ethics and Jurisprudence course. An electronic version was created in both English and French, and was emailed to dental hygiene program directors in September of 2011. Furthermore, in the spring of 2014, the Patient Relations Committee decided that it would be beneficial if program directors of every dental hygiene program in Ontario received the electronic version of the Instructor’s Guide to the Prevention of Sexual Abuse of Clients annually. The guide was sent out to all programs in the fall of 2018.

- Prior to registering, applicants must successfully complete an online Jurisprudence Education Module (JEM) that includes reference to the CDHO sexual abuse prevention plan, guidelines for professional behaviour, and clarification of a registrant’s obligations under the RHPA with respect to sexual abuse. In 2016, the Jurisprudence exam was revised and updated. There are two quiz questions included in the module pertaining to professional boundaries and the mandatory reporting obligation for sexual abuse. The final exam for the course also includes five questions that test knowledge on professional boundaries.
and sexual abuse. A 100% pass rate is a requirement for the quiz and final exam. This module is provided free of cost but users must register for an application number that will permit them to complete the final exam. In 2018, 720 applicants successfully completed the final exam.

2. Education and Guidelines for the Conduct of Registrants

The second component of the sexual abuse prevention plan addresses on-going education and guidance for registrants.

- All registrants have access to an electronic copy of the Registrants’ Handbook as updated in February 2014. There are two chapters that are relevant to the prevention of sexual abuse. Chapter two discusses ways to avoid sexual abuse and includes a practice-based self-test on mandatory reporting obligations. Chapter eight discusses sexual abuse boundaries and lists touching principles for dental hygienists. The Registrants’ Handbook has been produced in English and French. Both language versions are available on the website.

- In April 2010, the Jurisprudence Education Module was made available at no cost to all registrants through the CDHO website. As mentioned previously, the online module and exam include reference to the CDHO sexual abuse prevention plan, guidelines for professional behaviour, and clarification of a registrant’s obligations under the RHPA with respect to sexual abuse. In 2016, the Jurisprudence exam was revised and updated. There are two quiz questions included in the module pertaining to professional boundaries and the mandatory reporting obligation for sexual abuse. The final exam for the course also includes five questions that test knowledge on professional boundaries and sexual abuse. A 100% pass rate is a requirement for the quiz and final exam. The module and exam are available in English and French and both were updated in 2016. In 2018, 98 existing registrants had successfully completed the module and final exam.

- “Professional Boundaries for Dental Hygienists in Ontario” is published on the CDHO website in both English and French. The guidelines were revised in 2006, 2010 and most recently, in 2018. Prior to December 2010, these guidelines were distributed with the Registrants’ Handbook to all registrants. As per the Patient Relations Committee policy, the Registrants resource is no longer being sent out. The most current and up-to-date information is available on the College website.

- A brochure entitled “Prevention of Sexual Abuse of Clients”, updated in 2006, 2010 and most recently, in 2018, is published on the CDHO website in both English and French. This brochure was developed at the same time as the professional boundaries guidelines and was distributed in the same manner. As per the Patient Relations Committee policy, the Registrants resource is no longer being sent out. The most current and up-to-date information is available on the College website.
College staff members have incorporated information on the CDHO’s sexual abuse prevention plan into presentations at society meetings since the plan’s inception. These presentations provided registrants with an opportunity to seek clarification on the definition of sexual abuse for health care professionals, the guidelines for professional boundaries, and the professional obligations to making a mandatory report.

Part of the College’s sexual abuse prevention plan includes regular articles in Milestones that cover topics aimed at the prevention of sexual abuse and informing mandatory reporting obligations. Looking to past issues of Milestones, articles addressing sexual abuse prevention occurred in July 1999, spring and fall of 2001, fall 2003, May 2005, as well as November 2006 and 2007. No articles had appeared between 2007 and 2010. This is significant because the College’s first finding of sexual abuse occurred in 2005. Furthermore, a 2008 report from the QA Committee indicated that among the respondents of the 2008 Total Quality Improvement survey, only 69 percent knew that they were not permitted to provide oral health care to their boyfriend/girlfriend; 91 percent answered the mandatory reporting question incorrectly; and 30 percent were unclear about when to include the name of the client in a report of alleged sexual abuse. Due to such, the Patient Relations Committee decided that a minimum of one article per year dealing with sexual abuse prevention should be included in Milestones. Most recently, articles on sexual abuse appeared in following editions of Milestones:

- November 2010: *Treating a Spouse Is No Longer Acceptable*
- December 2011: *Sexual Abuse Under the RHPA: Are You Familiar With the Definition?*
- December 2012: *Treating Spouses Still NOT Permitted*
- November 2013: *The College’s Sexual Abuse Prevention Plan*
- December 2014: *Sexual Abuse, Spousal Treatment, and Mandatory Reporting*
- December 2015: *Prevention of Sexual Abuse of Clients*
- December 2016: *Protecting Clients: What You Need to Know About the College’s Sexual Abuse Prevention Plan and Upcoming Changes to the Law*
- December 2017: *Prevention of Sexual Abuse of Clients*
- December 2018: *Zero Tolerance – Protecting Clients from Sexual Abuse*
In March 2005, the CDHO hired its first Practice Advisor who was available by phone on a daily basis to registrants seeking advice on practice matters. A second full-time Practice Advisor was hired in September 2008. Many of the calls in 2005 were from registrants seeking clarification on the Discipline Committee’s finding on sexual abuse in the case of registrant Mizzau. It was estimated that each Practice Advisor answered one call per day that related to professional boundaries. Those calls increased in the spring and summer of 2010 prompted by discussions within dental offices about the Leering case and advisories put out by the dental and dental hygiene associations and regulatory bodies. The College still receives calls on the treatment of spouses and the dating of clients. Two Practice Advisors are currently available that can address inquiries regarding boundaries and sexual abuse prevention.

In July 2010, the College sent out an advisory to all registrants informing them that it was no longer acceptable to treat spouses. The decision to send out this advisory was prompted by an appeal court decision, _Leering vs the College of Chiropractors_, whereby the court clarified its position that it was unacceptable for any healthcare professional to treat a spouse. While Council has approved a regulation in 2015 that would allow the treatment of spouses, registrants are advised that until the legislation has passed at the Ontario Cabinet, the treatment of spouses is still prohibited.

3. Training for College Administration

- **Dealing with victims:** The College currently has staff members who have been trained to assist members of the public who may have been abused by a dental hygienist. The staff members form part of the College’s telephone protocol list of individuals who may answer inquiries on this topic.

- **Conducting investigations on allegations of sexual abuse:** It has been recognized that, due to the relatively low number of complaints/mandatory reports received by CDHO with respect to sexual abuse, expertise will be contracted to conduct investigations relating to sexual abuse. Administration utilizes legal counsel and investigators with expertise in this area to assist with investigations and to prosecute discipline cases. This is reflected in the CDHO’s “Policy on the Investigation of Sexual Abuse”.

4. Provision of Information to the Public

- Information respecting the role of the CDHO can be accessed by the public through the CDHO website. This includes guidelines for the “Prevention of Sexual Abuse of Clients” and “Professional Boundaries for Dental Hygienists in Ontario”.
The CDHO website contains information in English and French that provides the public with information on the complaints process and funding for therapy.

The College is a member of the Federation of Health Regulatory Colleges of Ontario and takes part in a combined effort to educate the public on the role of the regulatory body.

As part of our public education program, information around boundary violations, the definition of sexual abuse, and reporting of alleged sexual abuse can be accessed by the public through the CDHO website at [http://www.cdho.org/for-the-public/public-education-program/understanding-boundary-violations-and-sexual-abuse](http://www.cdho.org/for-the-public/public-education-program/understanding-boundary-violations-and-sexual-abuse)

5. Funding for Therapy and Counselling

A fund has been established with sufficient money to supply a successful applicant with the necessary funds for therapy and counselling. The amount for funding is updated annually according to provision in the College’s Funding for Therapy Policy. Under the Minister’s Regulation, the maximum amount of funding that may be provided is the amount that the Ontario Health Insurance Plan would pay for 200 half-hour sessions of individual out-patient psychotherapy with a psychiatrist, on the day the person becomes eligible. Currently, this is deemed to be equal to approximately $16,060. This amount is included in the budget. The regulations respecting funding for therapy and counselling for persons who have been sexually abused by a registrant were passed in March 2006, and policies and procedures for reviewing applications for funding are in place. The CDHO policy for Funding for Therapy and Counselling was updated and approved in 2018. To date, the College has not received any requests for funds.

In 2015, Council amended the College Bylaws. This amendment included the requirement for registrants to carry professional liability insurance with a sexual abuse therapy and counselling fund endorsement that,

- provides coverage for therapy and counselling for every person eligible for funding under subsection 85.7(4) of the Code; and

- provides coverage, in respect of each such eligible person, for the maximum amount of funding that may be provided for the person under the Act, for therapy and counselling as a result of sexual abuse by the Registrant.
6. Evaluation

- The Health Professions Regulatory Advisory Council (HPRAC) conducted a review of each of the Health Colleges Patient Relations Program from 2001 to 2007. In 2008, HPRAC released a report that contained its expectation of what should be included in a Patient Relations Program. It was not a report card and did not appear to have an evaluative component. Rather, it reported on the general activities of the Colleges. Specifically, it did not evaluate the sexual abuse prevention plan.

- A CDHO evaluation of registrants knowledge about the sexual abuse prevention plan occurred most recently in 2014, where five questions were incorporated into the 2014 TQI survey, circulated to registrants by Ipsos Research on behalf of the Quality Assurance Committee. Ipsos’ research analysis of the responses were as follows:

  a. Knowledge of CDHO Guidelines on Sexual Misconduct

Knowledge and understanding of CDHO guidelines on sexual misconduct were tested in comparison to two more commonly known guidelines, one on best practices in initiating dental hygiene care and the other on prophylactic antibiotics. Table 1.1 presents the self-assessed level of understanding in these areas. Overall, respondents are getting more familiar with the CDHO guidelines on sexual misconduct.

Three out of four respondents understand the legal requirements as outlined in the CDHO guidelines on preventing sexual abuse of clients very well; this is a significant increase since the last TQI survey (75 percent vs. 55 percent in 2008). Interestingly, the percentage of respondents who do not know these guidelines very well has been decreasing since 2008. This indicates that the communication from the CDHO about the guidelines has proven to be successful since 2008.

Relative to the other two guidelines tested, there is a relatively high level of self-reported knowledge of guidelines on sexual misconduct. The results show that survey respondents are most familiar with the legal requirements as outlined in the CDHO guidelines on preventing sexual abuse where 75 percent of dental hygienists said they understood the guidelines very well (6–7 on a 7-point scale.
Table 1.1: Knowledge of CDHO Guidelines on Sexual Misconduct

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valid Percent (n=4385)</th>
<th>Not very (1–2)</th>
<th>Somewhat (3–5)</th>
<th>Very well (6–7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDHO guidelines for prophylactic antibiotics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The legal requirements as outlined in the CDHO guidelines on preventing sexual abuse of clients</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The guidelines for best practice in initiating dental hygiene care</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q. How well do you know and understand each of the following?

b. CDHO Policies on Sexual Misconduct

Two different scenarios around sexual misconduct were presented where survey respondents were requested to identify whether the behaviour is permitted according to CDHO guidelines. About 95 percent believed that dental hygienists are not permitted to provide oral care to their boyfriend or girlfriend, whereas 4 percent believed they could. There was a widespread belief that dental hygienists were not permitted to provide oral care to a client who has consented to a sexual relationship: 98 percent as opposed to 1 percent who believed it was permitted. In both cases, only 1 percent of survey respondents were unsure. These percentages increased in both cases since 2008, especially regarding providing oral health care to a boyfriend or a girlfriend (increase of 26 points).
Table 4.2: CDHO Policies on Sexual Misconduct

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valid Percent (n=4385)</th>
<th>Yes, permitted</th>
<th>No, not permitted</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Client is DH’s boyfriend/girlfriend</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client consented to a sexual relationship</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q. To the best of your knowledge, are dental hygienists permitted to provide oral health care to clients in each of the following situations…?

c. Appropriate Procedures on Reporting Abuse

There was a slight decrease in the percentage of respondents who believed that dental hygienists must submit a report to the health professional’s regulatory body in any circumstance if a dental hygienist becomes aware of alleged sexual abuse: 81 percent in 2014 vs. 83 percent in 2008.

More than 4 in 5 believed that dental hygienists must submit a report to the health professional’s regulatory body in any circumstance if a dental hygienist became aware of alleged sexual abuse. That being the case, 19 percent said otherwise, with 7 percent believing the report should be submitted only if the health professional involved can be named, [note that this is the correct answer] and 5 percent thinking it should be submitted only at the client’s request.
Table 4.3: Procedures Around Sexual Abuse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Valid Percent 2014 (n=4385)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under any circumstances</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If the dental hygienist knows the name of the health professional involved</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only at the client’s specific request</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q. What is your understanding of the appropriate procedure if a dental hygienist becomes aware of alleged sexual abuse of a client by another health professional? Must submit a report to health professional's regulatory body.

With respect to the inclusion of the clients’ name in the report, 50 percent believed the client’s name should be included with written consent; however, there was still a sizable minority who thought otherwise. The table below shows the breakdown in the responses.
Table 4.4: Procedure on Sexual Abuse of a Client

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Valid Percent 2014 (n=4385)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Must be included</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can be included only with the client’s written consent</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should never be included</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q. What is your understanding of the appropriate procedure if a dental hygienist becomes aware of alleged sexual abuse of a client by another health professional? When reporting the alleged sexual abuse, the name of the client.

d. Understanding of Penalties

Survey respondents were asked what the appropriate penalty was for a registrant who is found guilty of sexual abuse. It was fairly clear to survey respondents that the mandatory penalty for a CDHO registrant found guilty of sexual relations with a client is “reprimanding and revoking the registrant’s certificate or registration”. The results showed that 79 percent stated this would be the recourse for such an offense, 4 percent believed the penalty is a fine, and 16 percent did not know what the mandatory penalty was.
Table 4.5: **Mandatory Penalty**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Valid Percent 2014 (n=4385)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reprimand and revoking the registrant’s certificate or registration</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t know</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q. What is the mandatory penalty for a CDHO registrant found guilty of sexual abuse relating to sexual intercourse or other forms of physical sexual relations between the registrant and a client? Mandatory penalty for a CDHO registrant.

The 2014 survey results demonstrated that 75 percent of registrants believed that they had a very good understanding of the sexual abuse prevention plan, and only 5 percent either believed they could or were unsure of whether or not they could treat a boyfriend or girlfriend. About 50 percent of registrants understood that they could only make a mandatory report if they knew the name of the health professional who was alleged to have committed acts of sexual abuse. In addition, 23 percent of registrants were not sure about the guidelines for including the client’s name in the mandatory report.
Upcoming Projects

- Make any necessary updates to the Registrants’ Handbook, the *Instructor’s Guide to the Prevention of Sexual Abuse of Clients* and any other applicable CDHO resources when and if the spousal treatment regulation has passed or with changes to the RHPA.

- Council approved a proposed spousal exception regulation that was submitted to the government for consideration in October 2015. The status of the proposed spousal exception regulation is unchanged since the last report. Under current legislation, dental hygienists cannot treat their spouse.